

Asian Journal of Medicine and Health

Volume 20, Issue 12, Page 56-64, 2022; Article no.AJMAH.93770 ISSN: 2456-8414

# Assessment of Glycaemic Control among Diabetic Patients Attending Care at Bowen University Teaching Hospital, Ogbomoso

A. E. Idowu <sup>a^^</sup>, I. O. Amole <sup>b++^^</sup>, A. O. Durodola <sup>b‡^^</sup>, S. A. Adesina <sup>b‡^^</sup>, A. O. Adegoke <sup>a#^^\*</sup>, A. I. Akinwumi <sup>c</sup>, O. A. Idowu <sup>a</sup>, O. T. Awotunde <sup>b++^^</sup> and A. D. OlaOlorun <sup>b†^^</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Bowen University Teaching Hospital, Ogbomoso, Nigeria.
<sup>b</sup> Bowen University Iwo, Bowen University Teaching Hospital, Ogbomoso, Nigeria.
<sup>c</sup> Department of Family Medicine, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJMAH/2022/v20i12769

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93770

Original Research Article

Received: 23/09/2022 Accepted: 25/11/2022 Published: 01/12/2022

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a group of metabolic disorders characterized by hyperglycaemia resulting from impairment in insulin secretion, insulin action or both. The aim of management of diabetes mellitus is to achieve and maintain blood glucose levels within normal

Asian J. Med. Health, vol. 20, no. 12, pp. 56-64, 2022

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>^^</sup> Consultant Family Physician;

<sup>++</sup> Associate Professor;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Senior Lecturer;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup>Lecturer 1;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Professor;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: adepeju.adegoke@bowen.edu.ng;

ranges and prevent its complications. This study aims to assess the glycaemic control among adult outpatients with diabetes mellitus in Bowen University Teaching Hospital (BUTH), Ogbomoso with a view to intensify effort towards achieving good glycaemic control.

**Methods:** A descriptive cross-sectional study in which 299 consenting diabetic patients were recruited using systematic sampling technique. Sociodemographic characteristics of each participant and their medical history were obtained using a structured interviewer administered questionnaire. The level of glycaemic control was assessed with glycosylated haemoglobin level and recorded for each participant and it was classified as either poor or good. The data collected was analysed using SPSS version 20 software and presented as Descriptive statistics. The association between two or more categorical variable was tested using Chi-square test and fisher's exact test. Statistical significance was set at p-value less than 0.05.

**Results:** The age group above 60 years had the highest proportion (41.8%) of participants, 60.9% of the participants were females. Majority of the participants (91.6%) were married. About 67.9% of the respondents were in social class 2 and majority of the participants (69.6%) were urban dwellers. Participants on oral anti-diabetic drugs were 62.2% and of this proportion, about 34.1% were on both oral drugs and insulin. About 67.2% of the participants had a duration of diabetes less than 5 years. Most of the participants had a poor glycaemic control with a frequency of 83.6%. The mean level of glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA<sub>1</sub>C) in this study was  $9.2 \pm 2.5$ %. A significant association with glycaemic control was found with the participants age group, sex, level of education and duration on treatment.

**Conclusion:** The long-term glycaemic control of the participants was unacceptably poor. There is need to intensify efforts targeting good glycaemic control in the patients with diabetes mellitus in order to prevent complications from the disease. There is also a need to do further studies to find out the factors associated with poor glycaemic control found in this study.

Keywords: Diabetes; glycaemic control; disease; insulin.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a group of metabolic disorders characterized by hyperglycaemia resulting from impairment in insulin secretion, insulin action or both [1].

The clinical picture of diabetes mellitus is characterised by the presence of elevated plasma glucose with or without symptoms or its complication [2]. The American Diabetes World Association (ADA) and Health Organization (WHO) has recommended that a cut-point of HbA<sub>1</sub>C  $\geq$  6.5% can be used to diagnose diabetes mellitus [3]. The aim of management of diabetes mellitus is to achieve and maintain blood glucose levels within normal ranges and prevent its complications [4]. This could be done in several ways including; dietary and lifestyle modification, use of oral antidiabetic drugs or the use of insulin. If the glycaemic control is poor, then complications ensue.

Assessment of blood glucose control could be measure of either short term or long-term glycaemic control. Short term glycaemic control is essentially carried out by assessing fasting plasma glucose and postprandial glucose levels while long term glycaemic control involves evaluation for glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA<sub>1</sub>C) levels [2]. The best indicator of true glycaemic control over a period of time is HbA1C level [5]. Glycaemic targets for non-pregnant adults based on ADA include  $HbA_1C < 7.0\%$ , fasting blood glucose of 70-130mg/dl (3.9-7.2mmol/l) or 1-2hour postprandial capillary plasma glucose < 180 mg/dl (<10.0mmol/l) [6]. Good glycaemic control implies glycosylated haemoglobin lesser than 7% while a value of  $\geq$  7% was considered poor glycaemic control [6]. Several studies have revealed that the glycaemic control among diabetic patients in other part of Nigeria [7,8], Luska in Zambia [9], Botswana [10] and Morocco [11] was poor. To the best of our knowledge, such study has not been reported in BUTH, Ogbomoso. This study aims to assess the glycaemic control among diabetic patients accessing care at BUTH.

## **1.1 Statement of the Problem**

Diabetes mellitus, one of the diseases called diseases of affluence was previously known to plague the Western world and not Africa [12] but presently, because of the impact of urbanization and epidemiological transition hitting this region, the story is changing. It is fast assuming pandemic with alarming increase in its prevalence rate [13]. The number of people with DM has risen from 108 million in 1980 to 415 million in 2015 thus, putting the global prevalence at 8.8%. Furthermore, it has been projected that by 2040, 642 million people or 1 out of 10 adults will be living with diabetes mellitus [14]. The current prevalence of DM in Nigeria has been estimated to be in the range of 8% - 10% [15]. Noteworthy, of the global population of people living with DM, 80% are from the developing countries [13]. In view of this rising prevalence, there is a need to assess glycaemic control among diabetic patients in other to determine the level of control and prevent complications that may arise from poor control.

The aim and objective of this study was to assess the glycaemic control among adult outpatients with diabetes mellitus in BUTH, Ogbomoso with a view to intensify effort towards achieving good glycaemic control among diabetic patients accessing care at BUTH Ogbomoso.

#### **Research Questions:**

- 1. What are the sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants?
- 2. What are the medical characteristics (including current medication, duration of diagnosis of diabetes and glycaemic control) of the study participants?
- 3. What is the associations between sociodemographic characteristics and medical history of study participants and glycaemic control?

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was a descriptive cross-sectional study. A total of 299 adults aged 18 years and above with diabetes mellitus of at least 6 months in duration attending two outpatient clinics of Bowen University Teaching Hospital (BUTH) Oqbomoso General in both Outpatient Department and Endocrinology clinic were recruited for the study. Systematic sampling technique was used to recruit the participants. The data collection lasted 4 months between March and June 2018. The inclusion criteria include consenting patients aged 18 years and above with diabetes mellitus who have been on treatment for at least 6 months. The exclusion criteria include pregnant patients because the glycaemic target for pregnant patient differs from the other adult population and cognitively

impaired patients because they may not be able to follow instructions.

The sample size for the study was calculated using the statistical formula [16];

$$n = \frac{Z^2 p q}{d^2}$$

Where:

n = desired sample size

Z = Two standard deviations usually set at 1.96 which corresponds to 95% confidence level.

p = The proportion in the target population estimated to have a particular characteristic.

q = The proportion of the population not involved in the study i.e., 1-p

d = The degree of accuracy desired usually set at 0.05

Using the prevalence of diabetic foot-at-risk of 41.5% in South-west Nigeria [17].

Therefore,

n = 
$$\frac{(1.96)^2(0.415)(0.585)}{(0.05)^2}$$
  
= 373.1 approximated to 373.

However, the population of patients with diabetes mellitus that was seen in the last one year from the records department was 1200. Since the study population is < 10,000, the sample size was adjusted using the formula; [16]

$$n_{f} = \frac{n}{1 + \frac{n-1}{N}}$$

Where:

 $n_f$  = Desired sample size when population is less than 10,000.

n = Desired sample size when population is greater than 10,000.

N = Estimate of the population size = 1200.

Therefore,

$$\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{f}} = \frac{373}{1 + \frac{373 - 1}{1200}} \approx 285$$

An allowance of 5% (14.3) was given for poorly completed questionnaire and missing test

results. This was added to the desired sample size to give a total of 300. The target population are the adult outpatients with diabetes mellitus accessing care at BUTH, Ogbomoso.

A structure questionnaire was used to collect data on socio-demographic characteristics and history of the participants. medical The characteristics Socio-demographic included: respondent's age, gender, marital status, ethnic group, level of education, occupation, and place of residence (urban or rural). Urban residence is identified as one with a population of at least 5,000 inhabitants while rural residence is one with population of less than 5,000 inhabitants [18]. The medical history included: duration of illness and current medications.

The estimation of HbA<sub>1</sub>C was done using A1CNow<sup>®+</sup> system. This provides the percentage of glycosylated haemoglobin in capillary or venous whole blood. Participants were not required to fast before the sample collection. Participants were grouped as having good glycaemic control if HbA<sub>1</sub>C is < 7% while a value of  $\geq$  7% was considered poor glycaemic control [6].

Completed questionnaires were serially coded and data were inputted into the computer using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows, version 20 by IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York and this was used for data analysis. Data presentation was done using frequency tables and prose. The association between two categorical variables was tested using chi square and Fischer exact test with pvalue set at less than 0.05. Descriptive statistics was used to assess the level of glycaemic control among adult outpatients with diabetes mellitus accessing care in BUTH.

The Standard Occupational Classification System designed by Office of Population Census and Surveys, London (OPCS 1991) and modified for Nigeria was used to classify participants into socioeconomic classes 1-3 as follows:

- Class 1 = skilled worker e.g., professionals and managerial officers and retirees of this cadre
- Class 2 = unskilled workers e.g., Artisans and traders
- Class 3 = dependents e.g., retirees of class 2, those not on pension, house wives of class 2 cadre, students [19].

## 3. RESULTS

Three hundred questionnaires were administered to the study group but only 299 were completed giving a response rate of 99.7%. All the participants had glycosylated haemoglobin test done.

showed the socio-demographic Table 1 characteristics of the participants. The age group with the highest proportion of participants was age above 60 years with 41.8% of the participants while the group below 30 years was the lowest with a frequency of 1.3%. It can be seen that only 39.1% of the participants were males and 60.9% were females, giving a male to female ratio of 0.6:1. Majority of the participants (91.6%) were married. The largest proportion of the participants (95.3%) belonged to Yoruba ethnic group. About 67.9% of the respondents were in class 2 and majority of the participants (69.6%) were urban dwellers.

From Table 2, 62.2% of the participants were on oral anti-diabetic drugs and of this proportion, about 102 (34.1%) were on both oral and insulin. About 67.2% of the participants had a duration of diabetes less than 5 years. Most of the participants had a poor glycaemic control with a frequency of 83.6%. The mean HbA1C level was  $9.2 \pm 2.5\%$ .

Table 3 showed that age group above 60 years, female sex, participants with primary level of education, use of oral antidiabetic agent and duration of diagnosis less than 5 years had highest proportion with poor glycaemic control. A significant association with glycaemic control was found with the participants age group, sex, level of education and duration on treatment.

## 4. DISCUSSION

This study involved 299 participants. The age group above 60 years had the highest proportion of respondents which was 41.8% while the age group below or equal to 30 years was the lowest which was 1.3%. The age distribution of the respondents in this study illustrated the attributes of the elderly with respect to health seeking behaviour. Even though type-2 DM can be seen in adults below the age of 30 years, the minimum age found in this study was 30 years. This may be due to the fact that when under 30 years is not healthy, the parents will deny possibility of DM and will fail to present them to the hospital.

		Frequency	Percentage (%)
Age (years)			
	≤ 30	4	1.3
	31-40	15	5.0
	41-50	63	21.1
	51-60	92	30.8
	Above 60	125	41.8
	Mean age = 59.3	Standard Deviation= 11.2	
Gender			
	Female	182	60.9
	Male	117	39.1
Marital status			
	Single	3	1.0
	Married	274	91.6
	Separated	3	1.0
	Widow	19	6.4
Education			
	No formal	89	29.8
	Primary	90	30.1
	Secondary	55	18.4
	Tertiary	65	21.7
Social Class			
	Class 1	74	24.7
	Class 2	203	67.9
	Class 3	22	7.4
Ethnicity			
-	Yoruba	285	95.3
	Hausa	3	1.0
	Igbo	11	3.7
Residence			
	Rural	91	30.4
	Urban	208	69.6

Table 1, Socio-demogra	phic characteristics of the	participants ( $N = 299$ )
		puntioipunto (n = 200)

Table 2. Medical characteristics of the participants

		Frequency	Percentage (%)
Current Medications		· ·	
	Oral	186	62.2
	Insulin	11	3.7
	Both	102	34.1
Duration of Diabetes			
	≤ 5 years	201	67.2
	6 – 10 years	48	16.1
	Above 10 years	50	16.7
Glycaemic control			
	Good	49	16.4
	Poor	250	83.6
Mean HbA1C =9.2 ± 2.5%	6		

A statistically significant association was found between the age group of the participants and glycaemic control in this study. This does not agree with Yakubu et al. [20] in North West Nigeria who found that age was associated with poor glycaemic control but not to the level of significance. In line with this, a hospital based observational study conducted in Luska [9] to assess glycaemic control and associated selfmanagement behaviours in diabetic outpatients found that, poor glycaemic control was not strongly associated with age of participant. This also agrees with Chetoui et al. [11] in which age had no significant association with glycaemic control.

Majority of the respondents were females with a prevalence of 60.9%. The larger proportion of female in the study reflected the positive attitude of females to seeking health care. Consistent with this finding is the report of previous study which reported 62.1% of females in Enugu [21], a study in Senegal reported a male to female ratio of 0.67:1 [22]. The above showed that larger proportion of females were involved in the studies as seen in others [10,23,24]. However, the report by Ogbera et al. [17] revealed that males were more than females (1:0.97).

Even though, females have good health seeking behaviour has seen in this study, about 89.0% of

them had a poor glycaemic control which was in contrast to the finding by Chetoui et al. [11] where males were found to have poor glycaemic control. This study demonstrated a statistically significant association between sex and glycaemic control. This was similar to the finding by Yakubu et al. [20] who found that gender and practice dietary ability to control as recommended by clinicians were significantly associated with glycaemic control. However, Musenge et al. [9] observed that poor glycaemic control was not strongly associated with sex of the participants.

Majority of the respondents (91.6%) in this study were married. This finding was supported by previous literature that described DM to be an adult-onset disease [25]. The population under study was well distributed in the adult group and the minimum age was 30 years, it will be expected that majority would be married. Previous other studies were in consistent with this finding [23,25].

Variables	Glycaemic Control (GC)			p-value
	Good GC	Poor GC		
Age Group (Years)				
<30	0(0.0%)	4(100%)		
31-40	0(0.0%)	15(100%)		
41-50	17(27.0%)	46(73.0%)	F=11.236	0.018
51-60	9(9.8%)	83(90.2%)		
>60	23(18.4%)	102(81.6%)		
Total	49(16.4%)	250(83.6%)		
Sex				
Female	20(11.0%)	162(89.0%)		
Male	29(24.8%)	88(75.2%)	X <sup>2</sup> =9.894	0.002
Education Level		· ·		
No formal education	13(14.6%)	76(85.4%)		
Primary	8(8.9%)	82(91.1%)		
Secondary	11(20.0%)	44(80.0%)		
Tertiary	17(26.2%)	48(73.8%)		
Total	49(16.4%)	250(83.6%)		
Medication				
Oral	29(15.6%)	157(84.4%)		
Insulin	0(0.0%)	11(100%)		
Both	20(19.6%)	82(80.4%)	F=2.623	0.255
Total	49(16.4%)	250(83.6%)		
Duration of Treatment (Years)				
<5	25(12.6%)	174(87.4)		
6-10	8(16.0%)	42(84.0%)		
>10	16(32.0%)	34(68.0%)	X <sup>2</sup> =11.024	0.004
Total	49(16.4%)	250(83.6%)		

#### Table 3. Glycaemic control status

In addition, majority of the respondents had no formal education (29.8%) or only primary education (30.1%) this may be because they were elderly and education was not paramount then. This could have accounted for the 67.9% distribution of the respondents into social class 2. The finding in this study agrees with Chetoui et al [11] who observed that participants who could not read had a poor glycaemic control but negates the finding by Musenge et al. [9] that, poor glycaemic control was found in patients with at least secondary level of education. A significant association was seen between level of education and glycaemic control in this study. In contrast to this finding, Musenge et al. [9] found that the participants with at least secondary level of education had poor glycaemic control but no statistically significant association was found.

In this study, 67.2% of the respondents had duration of DM treatment less than 5 years while a smaller percentage (16.7%) had duration of treatment over 10 years. The shorter duration of treatment seen in the respondents may be due to late presentation to the hospital for diagnosis and treatment. The late presentation may also be attributed to the cultural perception of patients and the low level of education reported earlier. However, Ogbera et al. [17] in a study aimed to determine the prevalence of the foot-at-risk for ulceration in diabetic patients in an urban outpatient clinic in Lagos, Nigeria reported that 40.6% of the respondents had medium term DM duration (5-9 years). This may be due to higher level of education seen in urban dwellers. significantly Duration of treatment was associated with glycaemic control in this study. This agrees with Chetoui et al. [11] on bivariate analysis who found that duration of diabetes was significantly associated with HbA1c level. In contrast to this. Yakubu et al. [20] found that poor glycaemic control was associated with duration of diabetes greater than or equal to 5 years.

The present study showed that about two third of the study participants were on oral antidiabetic drugs for the control of their blood glucose and about 84.4% of them had poor glycaemic control while only a few participants (3.7%) were on insulin therapy and all of those on insulin therapy had poor glycaemic control. This was contrary to the thinking that control would be better for those on oral medication when compared with those on insulin therapy. However, the fear of having to inject one's self may contribute to poor compliance seen in the group on insulin therapy. This finding was confirmed by Chetoui et al. [11] where he found that participants on diet and oral antidiabetic drugs alone were better controlled than those on insulin.

In this study, HbA1C was used to assess participants' level of glycaemic control. The level glycaemic control in participants were of unacceptably poor as only 16.4% of the participants had good glycaemic control. The mean level of glycosylated haemoglobin in this study was 9.2 ± 2.5%. The values of HbA<sub>1</sub>C of participants in this study ranged between 4.6% and 21%. In another study done to determine the level of glycaemic control among diabetic patients in Umuahia, Nigeria by Ngwogu et al. [7], 38% of the study populations had good alvcaemic control with mean alvcated haemoglobin of 8.4 ± 1.7%. Similar to this finding, Musenge et al. [9] in Lusaka, Zambia, found the prevalence of patients with good glycaemic control to be 38.7% while 61.3% had poor glycaemic control. Both studies have glycaemic control which doubles the finding in this study.

However, some other studies conducted in Niger-Delta region of Nigeria by Ufuoma et al. [8] reported that 55% of the population had poor glycaemic control. In addition, the mean fasting blood glucose and HbA<sub>1</sub>C of the respondents were 7.89 ± 3.6 mmol/L and 8.2% respectively. This agrees with Tshitenge et al. [10] in a study conducted in Botswana where an uncontrolled glycaemia with a percentage of 59% and a mean HbA1C of 8.1% were found in patients. A significantly higher result was found in Morocco by Chetoui et al. [11] in 2017 in a cross-sectional study conducted to determine the prevalence of poor glycaemic control and associated factors in type 2 diabetes patients. It was reported that 66.3% of the total participants had poor glycaemic control. The above findings showed a remarkable deficiency in glycaemic control among the patients with DM. Therefore, emphasis should be place on strict control of glycaemia by both the physician and the patients.

## **5. CONCLUSION**

The glycaemic control was found to be poor in this study but age group, sex, level of education and duration on treatment were found to be associated with good glycaemic control among the study participants. There is a need to do further studies to find out the factors associated with poor glycaemic control found in this study.

## CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, patient(s) written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

## ETHICAL APPROVAL

The study protocol was reviewed by the ethical committee of Bowen University Teaching Hospital, Ogbomoso and ethical approval was obtained before the study commenced.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- Raghav A, Ahmad J, Noor S, Ozair M, Alam K, Mishra BK, et al. Updates of diabetes mellitus: A concern for public health. J Res Diabetes Metab. 2017;3(1):8-16.
- Chinenye S, Ofoegbu EN, Uloko AE, Ogbera A, Kuku S, Johnson TO, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for diabetes management in Nigeria. Nigeria: Diabetes Association of Nigeria. 2013:118. Access on 2018 Jun 4.
- Lau CS, Aw TC. HbA1C in the diagnosis and management of diabetes mellitus: an update. OAT 2020;6(1):1-20. DOI: 10.15761/DU.1000137
- Dekker RG, Qin C, Ho BS, Kadakia AR. The effect of cumulative glycemic burden on the incidence of diabetic foot disease. J Orthop Surg Res. 2016;11(1):1–8. Available:https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-016-0474-y
- Yazdanpanah L, Nasiri M, Adarvishi S. Literature review on the management of diabetic foot ulcer. World J Diabetes. 2015;6(1):37-53. DOI: 10.4239/wjd.v6.i1.37
- American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes. J Clin Appl Res Educ. 2017;40(1):1-142
- Ngwogu KO, Mba I.E.K., Ngwogu A.C. Glycaemic control amongst diabetic mellitus patients in Umuahia Metropolis, Abia state, Nigeria. Int J of Basic, App and Inno Res. 2012;1(3):98-104. Available:https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ijb air/article/view/108362

- Ufuoma C, Godwin YD, Kester AD, Ngozi JC. Determinants of glycemic control among persons with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Niger Delta. Sahel Med J. 2016;19(4):190-5. Available:https://www.smjonline.org/text.as p?2016/19/4/190/196361
- Musenge EM, Michelo C, Mudenda B, Manankov A. Glycaemic control and associated self-management behaviours in diabetic outpatients: A hospital based observation study in Lusaka, Zambia. J Diabetes Res. 2016;2016(1):7934654. Available:https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/793 4654
- Tshitenge S, Ganiyu A, Mbuka D, Shama JM. The diabetic foot risks profile in Selebi Phikwe Government Hospital, Botswana. African J Prim Heal Care Fam Med. 2014;6(1):6–10. DOI: 10.4102/phcfm.v6i1.610
- Chetoui A, Kaoutar K, Elmoussaoui S, Boutahar K, El Kardoudi A, Chigr F, et al. Prevalence and determinants of poor glycaemic control: A cross-sectional study among Moroccan type 2 diabetes patients. Int Health. 2020;1(1):1–8. DOI:10.1093/inthealth/ihz107
- 12. Alemany M. Metabolic syndrome: A multifaceted disease of affluence. J Endocrinol Metab. 2012;2(5):155–65. Available:https://doi.org/10.4021/jem116w
- Dahiru T, Aliyu AA, Shehu AU. A review of population-based studies on diabetes mellitus in Nigeria. Sub-Saharan Afr J Med. 2016;3(2):59-64. DOI: 10.4103/2384-5147.184351
- International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 7th ed. Brussels: International Diabetes Federation [Internet]. 2015:527. Access on 2018 Jun 2.
- 15. Ogbera AO, Ekpebegh C. Diabetes mellitus in Nigeria: The past, present and future. World J Diabetes. 2014;5(6):905-11.

DOI: 10.4239/wjd.v5.i6.905

- Araoye MO. Sample size determination. Research methodology with statistics for health and social sciences. 1st Ed. Ilorin: Nathadex Publishers. 2004:115-120. Access on 2018 Jun 4.
- Ogbera AO, Adedokun A, Fasanmade OA, Ohwovoriole AE, Ajani M. The foot at risk in Nigerians with diabetes mellitus-the Nigerian scenario. Int J Endocrinol Metab. 2005;4(1):165–73.

Available:http://endometabol.com/en/article s/71879.html

 Dijkstra L, Hamilton E, Lall S, Wahba S. How do we define cities, towns, and rural areas? World Bank Blog [Internet]. 2020:1–15. Available:https://blogs.worldbank.org/susta inablecities/how-do-we-define-cities-towns-

and-rural-area

Access on 2018 Jun 4

- Adesina SA, Amole IO, Durodola AO, Awotunde OT, Olaolorun DA, Adeniran A, et al. Family functioning of people living with HIV/AIDS accessing care at a Nigerian tertiary health centre. Asian J Med Heal. 2019;16(2):1–9. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajmah/20 19/v16i230138
- Yakubu A, Dahiru S, Mainasara AS, Anaja PO, Musa B, Hassan HA. Determinants of poor glycaemic control among type 2 diabetic patients at a suburban tertiary hospital in North-Western Nigeria. Int. J Sci Hlt Res. 2020;5(4):207-214.
- Ogbogu CJ, Opara CH, Anarado AN, Anetekhai WI. High-risk diabetic foot among adults with diabetes in Enugu state,

Nigeria. WCET Journal. 2018;38(3): 10-20.

Available:https://www.researchgate.net/pu blication/330968612

22. Leye A, Diack ND, Leye YM, Ndiaye N, Bahati A, Dieng A, et al. Assessment of the podiatric risk on diabetics in Dakar hospital area: cross-sectional study in regard to 142 patients. J Diabetes Mellit. 2018; 08(01):1–8.

DOI: 10.4236/jdm.2018.81001

- Oduor PR, Ondari NJ, The prevalence of diabetic foot at risk (diabetic foot neuropathy and peripheral vascular disease) in a selected Kenyan population. Int J Sci Res Innov Technol. 2016; 3(12):63–74.
- 24. Wu L, Hou Q, Zhou Q, Peng F. Prevalence diabetic of risk factors for foot complications in a Chinese tertiarv hospital. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2015;8(3):3785-92.
- 25. Kirkman MS, Briscoe VJ, Clark N, Florez H, Haas LB, Halter JB, et al. Diabetes in older adults. Diabetes Care. 2012;35 (12):2650-64.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.12035

© 2022 Idowu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93770